



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/778,467	02/07/2001	Ofer Shem Tov	VOCL 17.031	5909
26304	7590	12/23/2005	EXAMINER	
KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP			SIDDIQI, MOHAMMAD A	
575 MADISON AVENUE			ART UNIT	
NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585			PAPER NUMBER	

2154

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/778,467

Applicant(s)

TOV ET AL.

Examiner

Mohammad A. Siddiqi

Art Unit

2154

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 October 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-39 are presented for examination.
2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/03/2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rangan et al. (6,802,042) (hereinafter Rangan) in view of A. Hooda et al. (Managing Mobility of Users in a Virtual Network by A.

Hooda, A. Karmouch and S Abu-Hakima; Published by Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1999) (hereinafter Hooda).

5. As per claim 1, Rangan discloses a method of enabling selective access for one or more guests to access to personal information (subscriber's personal information, col 11, lines 43-4-45) of an Internet subscriber on one or more guest web pages (serve web pages, here user is interpreted as guest who is not a subscriber of the portal site, col 11, lines 35-39) of the Internet subscriber (col 11, lines 29-39), said method comprising:

providing the one or more guest web pages (serve web pages, here user is interpreted as guest who is not a subscriber of the portal site, col 11, lines 35-39; col 5, lines 12-15), said web pages being located on the world wide web or an Intranet (portal server set-up at the ISP location, col 11, lines 32-35; col 5, lines 8-16),

receiving a profile of said internet subscriber (storing subscriber information, col 11, lines 33-39) with respect to at least a first of said web pages (subscriber information is used serve web pages, col 11, lines 33-39);

providing on said at least first guest web page (serve web pages, here user is interpreted as guest who is not a subscriber of the portal site, col 11, lines 35-39), based on said subscriber profile (subscriber information is used serve web pages, col 11, lines 33-39), personalized (subscriber information

is used serve web pages, col 11, lines 33-39) combinations of: static information (col 15, lines 45-65), dynamic information (new request and e-mail posted or top ten performing stocks, col 15, lines 1-9; col 17, lines 3-6), locator information (URL, 37, fig 2, col 8, lines 22-23 col 7, and lines 31-38) and communications access for the Internet subscriber (col 7, lines 31-38);

determining an access level of one of the one or more guests (col 6, lines 19-21), and personalizing a web page for said one guest based on a matching an access level of said one guest with said subscriber profile (col 5, lines 36-41; col 7, lines 31-38; col 13, lines 20-30; instructions for specific navigational instructions and login password),

Rangan teaches all the claimed limitation in a web portal environment, the portal provides unique subscription services and stores/creates web pages based on the profile along with any password and or personal codes associated with; subscriber is someone who pays for the goods or services, and guest is a visitor or a person or a user received and entertained without subscribing to the service.

Rangan does not specifically discloses subscriber profile including at least information defining levels of access for each of the one or more guest; said one guest being a different user of the Internet than the Internet subscriber. Hooda discloses personalized information access to the end

user/guest (section 1, Introduction, pages 39-41) location information (section 1.1, Design approach and assumptions, pages 40-41, page 48), static and dynamic information based on users profile (page 48), profile including at least information defining levels (section 1.1, Design approach and assumptions, pages 40-41) of access for each of the one or more guest (pages 40-41, 48, 50-51); said one guest being a different user of the Internet than the Internet subscriber (NPAS subscriber, pages 39—41). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Rangan and Hooda. The motivation would have been providing selective access to the personal information to the caller or visitor.

6. As per claim 2, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Rangan discloses determined access level is based on information identifying the one guest, including any of: password, URL, phone number, code, logging in on a guest web page, and biometrics (col 5, lines 37-44 and col 7, lines 31-38).

7. As per claim 3, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Rangan discloses said at least first guest web page comprising personalized combinations includes at least an availability of the guest (col 5, lines 37-44).

8. As per claim 4, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Rangan discloses the current availability of the guest for interactive communication or store and forward communication (col 5, lines 37-44), and media types for contact (col 15, lines 50-51).

9. As per claim 5, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Rangan discloses said store and forward communication comprises one or more of: text, e-mail, voice mail, video mail (col 15, lines 50-51 and col 17, lines 3-6).

10. As per claim 6, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Hooda discloses said interactive communication comprises one or more of: instant messaging (IMS), audio, video, VoIP phone contact, conference call (pages 39-46).

11. As per claim 7, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Hooda discloses said media types include one or more of: HTML or XML interfaced, text, audio, video, conference calls (pages 43-48).

12. As per claim 8, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Rangan discloses said at least first guest web page

Art Unit: 2154

comprising personalized combinations includes at least static information mixed with dynamic information (37,fig 2, col 7, lines 33-40).

13. As per claim 9, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Rangan discloses said static information includes one or more of: address, e-mail office party, name, phone number, and messages (37,fig 2, col 7, lines 33-40 and col 15, lines 35-40).

14. As per claim 10, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Hooda discloses said dynamic information includes one or more of: subscriber's active policy, availability, and messages (Pages 39-43).

15. As per claim 11, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Rangan discloses wherein said dynamic information is being updated automatically (col 17, lines 3-6).

16. As per claim 12, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Hooda discloses said at least first guest web page comprising personalized combinations includes a plug-in (pages 39-43), java applet, link or button that creates a multimedia-over-IP and/or voice-over-IP

Art Unit: 2154

call to the subscriber (pages 39-48) or a PSTN call or a wireless phone call (pages 39-48) and messaging that sends messages to one or more Instant Messages devices or Pagers or sends SMS (pages 39-48).

17. As per claim 13, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Hooda discloses wherein availability is deduced from at least one of a current presence of subscriber devices and the current policy of the subscriber (pages 39-41).

18. As per claim 14, the claim is rejected for similar reasons as stated in claim 1, above.

19. As per claim 15, the claim is rejected for similar reasons as stated in claims 2, 3, and 14, above.

20. As per claim 16, the claim is rejected for similar reasons as stated in claims 4 and 15, above.

21. As per claim 17, the claim is rejected for similar reasons as stated in claims 5 and 16, above.

Art Unit: 2154

22. As per claim 18, the claim is rejected for similar reasons as stated in claims 6 and 16, above.

23. As per claim 19, the claim is rejected for similar reasons as stated in claims 7 and 16, above.

24. As per claim 20, the claim is rejected for similar reasons as stated in claims 8 and 19, above.

25. As per claim 21, the claim is rejected for similar reasons as stated in claims 9 and 20, above.

26. As per claim 22, the claim is rejected for similar reasons as stated in claims 10 and 20, above.

27. As per claim 23, the claim is rejected for similar reasons as stated in claims 11 and 20, above.

28. As per claim 24, the claim is rejected for similar reasons as stated in claims 12 and 14, above.

Art Unit: 2154

29. As per claim 25, the claim is rejected for similar reasons as stated in claims 13 and 16, above.

30. As per claim 26, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition Hooda discloses receiving a policy of the Internet subscriber (pages 39-43), said policy including at least one or more guest profiles of the internet subscriber defining one or more groups of guests, each guest profile providing an associated level of access (pages 39-41);
assembling a personalized version of at least one of said guest web pages said at least one personalized guest web page including at least said first section (personalized information, pages 39-43), based on a rules based matching of the group the said guest belongs to with said subscriber's policy (pages 39-41).

31. As per claim 27, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claims 26, 2 and 3, above.

32. As per claim 28, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claims 26 and 4, above.

33. As per claim 29, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claims 26, 28, and 5, above.

34. As per claim 30, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claims 26, 28, and 6, above.

35. As per claim 31, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claims 26, 28, and 7, above.

36. As per claim 32, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claims 26 and 8, above.

37. As per claim 33, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claims 26, 32 and 9, above.

38. As per claim 34, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claims 26 and 10, above.

39. As per claim 35, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claims 26, 32, and 11, above.

Art Unit: 2154

40. As per claim 36, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claims 26 and 12, above.

41. As per claim 37, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claims 26, 28, and 13, above.

42. As per claim 38, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claims 14 and 2, above.

43. 39. As per claim 39, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claims 26 and 2, above.

Conclusion

44. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

U.S. Patent 5,742,905 teaches call forwarding system

U.S. Patent 6,839,680 teaches internet Profiling.

U.S. Publication 2002/0078150


Art Unit: 2154

45. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad A. Siddiqi whose telephone number is (571) 272-3976. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A. Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MAS

 **JOHN FOLLANSBEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**